1

2

4

5

6

7 ||

8

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

John Doe 432,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Alejandro Mayorkas, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No 2:23-cv-00432-RFB-VCF

ORDER

Motion To Proceed Under Pseudonym and for a Protective Order (ECF No. 2)

Pro se plaintiff John Doe 432 has not filed a complaint, paid the filing fee, or filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 1. Plaintiff only filed a motion asking the Court to allow him to proceed using a pseudonym. ECF No. 2. I deny plaintiff's motion without prejudice.

To proceed without paying the standard filing fee, 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and LSR 1-1 of the Local Rules of Practice require that a litigant must submit the court's form IFP application and supporting documents. If plaintiff wishes to commence this action, he must (1) file a complaint AND (2) file an application to proceed in forma pauperis on the Court's approved form and file it in this case OR pay the filing fee by Wednesday, May 3, 2023. Plaintiff may also refile his motion to proceed using a pseudonym if appropriate by Wednesday, May 3, 2023 as well.

Accordingly,

I ORDER that plaintiff's motion to proceed using a pseudonym (ECF No. 2) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

I FURTHER ORDER that by Wednesday, May 3, 2023, plaintiff (1) must either file an IFP application on the Court's approved form or pay the filing fee AND (2) he may also refile his motion to

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proceed using a pseudonym if appropriate. Failure to comply may result in case closure or dismissal.

NOTICE

Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Pursuant to LR IA 3-1, the plaintiff must immediately file written notification with the court of any change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon each opposing party's attorney, or upon the opposing party if the party is unrepresented by counsel. Failure to comply with this rule may result in dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 3rd day of April 2023.

CAM FERENBACH

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Cantalel